



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Matthias Koenig	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/501,108		07/08/2004		CM00681M	1708	
22917	7590	02/01/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MOTORO	•		NGUYEN, TU	NGUYEN, TUAN HOANG		
1303 EAS1 IL01/3RD	ALGUN	QUIN ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SCHAUMB	URG, IL	. 60196	2643			
				DATE MAILED: 02/01/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			108	KOENIG, MATTH	KOENIG, MATTHIAS				
			er	Art Unit					
		1	Nguyen	2643					
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communic Reply	ation appears on t	he cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	ddress				
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA ions of time may be available under the provisions of IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu- to reply within the set or extended period for reply w ply received by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. Itory period will apply and ill, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUN event, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MO application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status					•				
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 08 July 2004							
		o)⊠ This action is	non-final						
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	on of Claims		,						
·	<u> </u>								
	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 9-16</u> is/are rejected.								
· · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
·	Claim(s) <u>5-8 and 17</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
,		on and/or election	rrequirement.						
Applicatio	on Papers								
·	he specification is objected to by the								
10)∐ T	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t	•	``	• • •	` '				
11)[_] T	he oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)⊠	cknowledgment is made of a claim for the control of the control of the priority does not continue the control of the priority does not control of the priority does not not contro	ocuments have be	een received.						
3	B. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation	· · · · · · · ·		n received in this National	Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(· s)								
	of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔯 Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/08/2004</u> .			(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT 	O-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 9-13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dent (U.S PAT. 5,903,835) in view of Bazarjani et al. (U.S PAT. 6,005,506 hereinafter, "Bazarjani").

Regarding claims 1 and 16, Dent discloses a wireless communication unit incorporating a receiver, the receiver comprising: radio frequency circuitry for receiving a radio frequency signal and converting radio frequency signal to a low frequency signal

Art Unit: 2643

(Fig. 1, col. 3 lines 35-40); a signal level adjustment circuit for receiving low frequency signal (Fig. 1, col. 3 lines 40-44); an analogue to digital converter, operably coupled to signal level adjustment circuit for receiving an adjusted low frequency signal and providing a digital received signal (Fig. 1, col. 3 lines 35-63); and a signal processor operably coupled to the analogue to digital converter for processing digital received signal (Fig. 1, col. 3 lines 52-63). Dent differs from the claimed invention in not specifically teaching signal level adjustment circuit includes a low frequency amplifier whose gain is arranged to be dependent upon a clip point of analogue to digital converter. However, Bazarjani teaches signal level adjustment circuit includes a low frequency amplifier whose gain is arranged to be dependent upon a clip point (read on out of band quantization noise) of analoque to digital converter (col. 3 lines 13-61). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dent in signal level adjustment circuit includes a low frequency amplifier whose gain is arranged to be dependent upon a clip point of analogue to digital converter, as per teaching of Bazarjani, because it is utilized digital transmission because of the improved efficiency and the ability to detect and correct transmission errors.

Regarding claim 3, Bazarjani further discloses the gain of low frequency amplifier is arranged to be dependent upon a clip point of said dynamic compressor function (col. 3 lines 13-61).

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 9, Bazarjani further discloses low frequency components are at an intermediate or baseband frequency (col. 2 lines 24-30).

Regarding claim 10, Bazarjani further discloses receiver has a high dynamic range, for example in excess of 100 dB (col. 3 lines 51-61).

Regarding claim 11, Dent further discloses signal level adjustment circuit negates a need for an automatic gain control circuit (col. 3 lines 35-50).

Regarding claim 12, Dent further discloses the wireless communication unit is a subscriber unit or a base transceiver station operating in a wireless communication system (col. 4 line 53 through col. 5 line 6).

Regarding claim 13, Dent further discloses the subscriber unit is one of a portable or mobile PMR radio, a mobile phone, a personal digital assistant, a wireless capable laptop computer (col. 4 line 53 through col. 5 line 6).

4. Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dent (U.S PAT. 5,903,835) in view of Bazarjani et al. (U.S PAT. 6,005,506 hereinafter, "Bazarjani") as applied to claims above, and further in view of Minnis. (U.S PAT. 4,114,115).

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claims 2, Dent and Bazarjani, in combination, fails to discloses the signal level adjustment circuit is further by comprises a dynamic compressor function, operably coupled to low frequency amplifier for limiting a signal output from low frequency amplifier. However, Minnis teaches the signal level adjustment circuit is further by comprises a dynamic compressor function, operably coupled to low frequency amplifier for limiting a signal output from low frequency amplifier (Fig. 4 col. 4 lines 28-52). Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the disclosing of Minnis into view of Dent and Bazarjani, in order to provide an operational amplifier having a plurality of gain controlling feedback paths that are respectively responsive to different portions of the input frequency spectrum.

Regarding claim 4, Minnis further discloses the gain of said low frequency amplifier is arranged to be dependent upon the clip point of dynamic compressor function subtracted by the clip point of analogue to digital converter (Fig. 4 col. 4 lines 28-52).

5. Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dent (U.S PAT. 5,903,835) in view of Bazarjani et al. (U.S PAT. 6,005,506 hereinafter, "Bazarjani") as applied to claims above, and further in view of Ostman et al. (U.S PAT. 6,069,923 hereinafter, "Ostman").

Application/Control Number: 10/501,108

Art Unit: 2643

Page 6

received signal is a digitally modulated signal. However, Ostman teaches the received

Regarding claims 14, Dent and Bazarjani, in combination, fails to discloses the

signal is a digitally modulated signal (col. 8 lines 33-34). Therefore, it is obvious to one

of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the

disclosing of Ostman into view of Dent and Bazarjani, in order to process a signal in

connection with its reception, when the signal conforms to one or more system

specifications.

Regarding claim 15, Ostman further discloses the receiver is a linear receiver for

receiving said digitally modulated signal (col. 7 lines 6-17).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 5-8, and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop_____ (Explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)

Commissioner for Patents

Application/Control Number: 10/501,108

Art Unit: 2643

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(571)-273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22313

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan H. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-8329. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00Am - 5:00Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/501,108

Art Unit: 2643

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan Nguyen Examiner

Art Unit 2643